

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unnto.cov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/840,755 | 04/23/2001 | Vasily A. Topolkaraev | 44040-254221 | 4991 |
| 29843 7 | 590 08/12/2003 | | | |
| JOHN S. PRATT KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP (KIMBERLY CLARK) 1100 PEACHTREE STREET | | | ' EXAMINER | |
| | | | BOYD, JENNIFER A | |
| SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , 0 | | | 1771 | 19 - |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003 | 10 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| Office Action Summary Examin r | , |
|---|----|
| Examin r Jennifer A Boyd 1771 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time to be available under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the main of the provision of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled ### The period for reply is specified above. In maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ### Fillure to reply whith the set or extended period for reply vells, by the Office later than three monits after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3° CFR 1.794(b). #### Status #### Responsive to communication(s) filled on 23 April 2001. #### Sapplication is FINAL. #### Disposition of Claims #### Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. #### Application is a polication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. #### Disposition of Claims #### Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. #### Application is provided to be applicated to. #### Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. #### Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected to. #### Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected to maximum advance is a provided to be provided to by the Examiner. #### Application Papers #### OF The proposed drawing correction filed on is a cacepted or b b objected to by the Examiner. #### Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). #### The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. ######## If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. ############# | |
| Jennifer A Boyd 1771 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after StX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Py within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely, 1 M No period for reply is a specified above. The maximum statutory period will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or adenaded period for reply will, statute, cause the application to become BARNDONDED (38 U.S. € 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any semend patent term ediplasment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2001. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 80 □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 80 □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 80 □ Claim(s) □ is/are rejected. 71 □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a□ accepted or b□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on □ is/are: a□ approved b□ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after Stk (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the manimum statutory period will apply and will expire Stk (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED (39 U.S.C. § 133). - Are reply received by the Confidence of the state | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 58 (c) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the mainture statutory period will apply and will expire \$1.00 (b) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$1.00 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effects (it) (b) MOINTIS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is apecified above its best than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If MO period for reply is apecified above its best than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If MO period for reply is apecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MOINTIS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDEO (25 U.S.C. § 113). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 23 April 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | • |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | • |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| | |
| a) All b) Some c) None of: | |
| 1 Continue against a the priority description have been received | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application |). |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachment(s) | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.5. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 09/840,755

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wnuck et al. (US 5,939,467).

Whuck is directed to a composition derived from blends of various polymers which are useful in applications such as feminine hygiene products, diapers, training pants and the like (column 20, lines 20 - 25 and column 37, lines 50 - 55).

As to claim 1, Wnuck teaches that two or more polymers selected from the categories of moisture sensitive polymers and biodegradable polymers and additionally from the categories of thermally sensitive polymers, mechanically limited polymers, polymers difficult to melt process, hydrolytically cleavable aromatic/aliphatic polyester copolymers, oxidized ethylene/carbon monoxide copolymers and high melting aliphatic polyesters (column 20, lines 52 – 60) are blended and melt processed to create films among other products (column 20, lines 44 – 50). The Examiner equates the moisture sensitive polymers to Applicant's "water soluble polymer".

Application/Control Number: 09/840,755

Art Unit: 1771

As to claim 4, Wnuck teaches that a thermally sensitive polymer such as certain linear, saturated aliphatic polyesters may be used in the composition. Wnuck notes that many thermally sensitive, aliphatic polyesters are known to be biodegradable and compostable (column 11, lines 65 - 67 and column 12, lines 1 - 10).

As to claim 5, Wnuck teaches that polycaprolactone polymers may be used in the composition (column 12, lines 25 - 55).

As to claim 6, Wnuck teaches that a moisture sensitive polymer, or "water soluble polymer", such as polyvinyl alcohol, may be used in the composition (column 10, lines 33 – 48).

As to claim 7, Wnuck teaches that external plasticizers can also be included in the composition such as polyethylene glycol (column 10, lines 60 - 65).

As to claims 10 and 11, Wnuck teaches that the film formed from the composition of the invention will typically contain between 1 - 50% by weight of a moisture sensitive polymer (column 20, lines 62 - 67).

As to claims 12 and 13, Wnuck teaches that the film formed from the composition of the invention will typically contain between 10 - 80%, preferably from about 20 - 60% of biodegradable elastomer polymers (column 24, lines 57 - 65).

As to claims 14 and 15, Wnuck teaches that the films formed from the compositions of the invention will typically have a thickness of from about 0.01 mm to about 0.2 mm, preferably from 0.012 to about 0.051 mm (column 38, lines 18 - 25).

As to claim 16, Wnuck teaches that films formed from the compositions of the present invention are well suited for use as a backsheet (column 38, lines 18 - 24). Wnuck teaches that a nonwoven topsheet may be attached to the film backsheet (column 38, lines 43 - 56).

Application/Control Number: 09/840,755

Art Unit: 1771

As to claim 17, Wnuck teaches that the composition is useful in applications such as feminine hygiene products, diapers, training pants and the like (column 20, lines 20 - 25 and column 37, lines 50 - 55).

As to claim 18, Wnuck teaches that the composition is useful in applications involving disposable absorbent articles (column 37, lines 40 - 55).

As to claims 1-3 and 8-9, although Wnuck does not explicitly teach the claimed water vapor transmission rate of greater than about 2500g/m²/24 hours as required by claim 1, water vapor transmission rate of greater than about 3000g/m²/24 hours as required by claim 2, water vapor transmission rate of greater than about 3500g/m²/24 hours as required by claim 3, elongation at break of greater than 100% as required by claim 8 and elongation at break of greater than 200% as required by claim 9, it is reasonable to presume that water vapor transmission rate of greater than about 2500g/m²/24 hours as required by claim 1, water vapor transmission rate of greater than about 3000g/m²/24 hours as required by claim 2, water vapor transmission rate of greater than about 3500g/m²/24 hours as required by claim 3, elongation at break of greater than 100% as required by claim 8 and elongation at break of greater than 200% as required by claim 9 is inherent to Wnuck. Support for said presumption is found in the use of like materials (i.e. a film comprising a biodegradable polymer and water soluble polymer with a thickness range of 0.01 to 5 mils) which would result in the claimed property. The burden is upon the Applicant to prove otherwise. In re Fitzgerald 205 USPQ 594. In addition, the presently claimed property of water vapor transmission rate of greater than about 2500g/m²/24 hours as required by claim 1, water vapor transmission rate of greater than about 3000g/m²/24 hours as

Art Unit: 1771

required by claim 2, water vapor transmission rate of greater than about 3500g/m²/24 hours as required by claim 3, elongation at break of greater than 100% as required by claim 8 and elongation at break of greater than 200% as required by claim 9 would obviously have been present once the Wnuck product is provided. Note In re Best, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A Boyd whose telephone number is 703-305-7082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:30am - 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Ula Puddock

August 7, 2003